

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,913	07/12/2002	Sylvia Colliec-Jouault	33339/244859	7074
826 7:	590 06/19/2003			
ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			EXAMINER	
			MAIER, LEIGH C	
CHARLOTTE,	, NC 28280-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No.

Applicant(s) 10/069.913

Colliec-Jouault

### Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit Leigh Maier

1623



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-12 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9)  $\square$  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. X Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/069,913 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claims 6-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-10 have not been further treated on the merits.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/069,913

Art Unit: 1623

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 provide for the use of sulfated polysaccharides, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As noted above, the claims are "use" claims. However, they appear to be drawn to a method of preparing a pharmaceutical product comprising sulfated polysaccharides having molecular weight of less than or equal to 10,000.

The claims recite that the polysaccharides *can be* obtained by radical depolymerization of a crude fucan derived from Phaeophyceae, but as written, the polysaccharide of the invention is not a product by process. It is further noted that if the claim were amended to recite a product by process, (changing "can be" to "is") determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the

Application/Control Number: 10/069,913

Art Unit: 1623

product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process.

Dependent claims recite intended uses which are not patentable limitations.

The preparation of low molecular weight sulfated polysaccharides for use as pharmaceutical products is well known in the art. Claims 1-5, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by:

- NARDELLA et al (WO 97/08206) discloses a pharmaceutical composition comprising a sulfated polysaccharide (2500 g/mol) derived from the radical depolymerization of a fucan from Phaeophyceae. See abstract and page 11.
- FAN et al (EP 408770) discloses a pharmaceutical composition comprising a sulfated polysaccharide (4700 g/mol). See page 26, example 4 and Table 1.
- LASKER (US 4,533,549) discloses a pharmaceutical composition comprising a LMW
  (3500) heparin. See table in col 6.
- BAYOL et al (US 4,713,373) discloses pharmaceutical compositions comprising xylan sulfates (approx. 4500-5000). See tables in col 13.

#### Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (703) 308-4525. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson (703) 308-4624, may be contacted. The fax phone number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more.

Leigh C. Maier Patent Examiner

June 13, 2003